28th August 1926]

Rao Bahadur C. V. S. NARASIMHA RAJU :—" I object to the third reading of the Bill to-day as a number of amendments are made to it."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—" I uphold the objection raised by Mr. Narasimha Raju."

Diwan Bahadur M. KRISHNAN NAYAR :—" I move that the further consideration of the Bill be taken up on Thursday."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—" It is not necessary. Automatically the consideration of the Bill is postponed to three days after."

Diwan Bahadur M. KRISHNAN NAYAR :—" I want to make it certain as the hon. Mr. Moir, the hon. the Leader of the House and the hon. the Raja of Panagal said whether this will be taken up as the first item on Thursday."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—" No motion is necessary for it."

Rao Bahadur C. V. S. NARASIMHA RAJU :—" Now, it is settled that Tuesday and Thursday will be non-official days. The work will be arranged according to the result of the ballot and if my hon. Friend wants to pass over any items of business to come to his Bill he will have to make a motion on Thursday and that has to be seconded and passed."

The House adjourned at 5-2 p.m. to meet again at 11 o'clock on Tuesday, the 31st August 1926.

R. V. KRISHNA AYYAR,
Secretary to the Legislative Council.

APPENDIX I.

[Vide answer to question No. 2399 asked by Mr. K. Raghuchandra Ballal at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 28th August 1926, page 313 supra.]

AGREEMENT made the twenty-eighth day of May one thousand nine hundred and twenty-one (1921) between Dharma Samraja the owner for the time being of the inner courtyard of the Chowter's Palace at Mudabidri, Marpadi village, in the Karkal taluk of the South Kanara district, hereinafter referred to as " the owner " which expression where the context admits shall be deemed to include the survivor of him or other the owners or owner for the time being of the said inner courtyard of the Chowter's Palace, their and his assigns of the one part and the Right Honourable the Secretary of State for India in Council, hereinafter referred to as " the said Secretary of State " which expression where the context admits shall be deemed to include his successors in office for the time being of the other part.

WHEREAS under section 3 of the Ancient Monuments Preservation Act, 1904 (Act VII of 1904) the inner courtyard of the Chowter's Palace at Mudabjdri specifically described in the schedule annexed hereto and hereinafter referred to as " the protected monument " has been declared by the Government of Madras to be a protected monument under the said Act.

[28th August 1926

And whereas with a view to preserve the protected monument in its present condition, the Collector of South Kanara with the previous sanction of the Madras Government conveyed in G.O. No. 81, Law (Education), dated 17th January 1921, proposed to the owner that he should enter into an agreement with the said Secretary of State for the preservation of the protected monument and the said owner has accepted the proposal.

And whereas the terms of the said agreement so proposed and accepted are embodied in these presents.

And whereas these presents by G.O. No. , dated have been approved by the Government of Madras. Now these presents witness that it is hereby mutually agreed by the owner and the said Secretary of State as follows:—

(1) That the said Secretary of State shall have the right to maintain the protected monument in proper condition and repair and to take any measures necessary for that purpose.

(2) That the protected monument shall be under the immediate control of the owner.

(3) (*a*) That the owner shall not either himself or through his agents or lessees or tenants destroy, remove, alter or deface the protected monument or any part thereof.

(*b*) And in particular, in addition and without prejudice to the foregoing conditions that the owner shall not without the previous consent in writing of the Collector of the district construct or give permission to construct huts or other buildings on the site within the walls of the protected monument, and shall not without such consent cultivate the said site or any part thereof.

(4) That the owner shall render such facilities to the public or any portion of the public to have access to the protected monument and at such times and in such manner as the Collector of South Kanara for the time being shall appoint.

(5) That the owner shall render all facilities of access to the protected monument to the Archæological Department and such other persons deputed by the Collector of South Kanara for the time being to inspect or maintain the protected monument.

(6) That the said Secretary of State shall pay all expenses which may be incurred in connexion with the preservation and repair of the protected monument.

(7) That in respect of the whole or any part of such expenses the said Secretary of State shall have a charge on the protected monument and on the sale-proceeds thereof in case of its sale to be realized only when the owner effects a sale.

(8) That before offering the protected monument for sale either by public auction or private treaty, the owner shall give to the Government of Madras six months' previous notice in writing of his intention to do so.

(9) That the said Secretary of State shall have the first right to purchase the protected monument or such part of it as the said Secretary of State shall deem fit at its market value.

In witness whereof the said Dharma Samraja and the Collector of South Kanara on behalf of the Secretary of State for India in Council, have hereunto set their respective hands.

28th August 1926]

The schedule above referred to.

Name of the district, taluk and village.	Description—wet or dry with survey or paimash number.	Name of the owner.	Boundaries				Extent.
			North.	East.	South	West.	
(1)	(2)	(8)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
South Kanara district, Karkal taluk, Marpadi village.	Dry, survey No. 121-2.	Dharma Samraja.	S. No. 121-1.	S. No. 121-2.	S. No. 121-2.	S. No. 121-2.	2 69

APPENDIX II.

[Vide answer to question No. 2404 asked by Mr. R. Veerian at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 28th August 1926, page 317 supra.]

STATEMENT A.

Statement giving information regarding the persons employed in the District Labour offices, Guntur, Nellore and North Arcot.

Serial number and designation.	Name of officer.	Community.	Substantive appointment.
	Guntur.		
1. District Labour Officer.	M.R.Ry. C. Singaravelu Mudaliyar.	Non Brahman ..	Tahsildar, Repalle.
2. Special Inspector.	M.R.Ry. D. Suryanarayana.	Brahman ..	Clerk, Taluk office, Ongole.
3. Do.	M.R.Ry. V. Venkatasubbiah.	Do. ..	Clerk, Taluk office, Bapatla.
4. Do.	M.R.Ry J. Raghaviah ..	Non-Brahman ..	Clerk, Taluk office, Ongole.
5. Do.	M.R.Ry. M. R. Samuel	Depressed classes Christian.	Accountant, Treasury Deputy Collector's office, Guntur.
6. Do.	M.R.Ry. T. Chakrapani ..	Non Brahman ..	Clerk, Taluk office, Palnad.
7. Do	M.R Ry. M. Narayana Rao.	Brahman ..	Clerk, Taluk office, Vinukonda.
8. Special Overseer.	A. Raja Rao	Do. ..	Nil.
9. Deputy Surveyor.	Ch. Ranga Rao	Do. ..	Nil.
10. Clerk (60—4—80).	Annappa Sastri	Do. ..	Upper Division Clerk, Taluk office, Bapatla.
11. Clerk (35—60) ..	G. Raja Rao	Non-Brahman ..	Nil.
12. Do. ..	Abdul Karim	Muhammadan ..	Nil.

TAMIL NADU LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
TRUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS